

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND APPLIED EXERCISE SCIENCE**A COMPREHENSIVE SYNTHESIS OF BIOFEEDBACK APPLICATIONS IN SPORT SCIENCE: PSYCHOPHYSIOLOGICAL SELF-REGULATION, MOTOR SKILL PRECISION, STRESS IMMUNITY, AND ATHLETIC REHABILITATION**Dr. Shubhdip Kaur¹¹ Assistant Professor, Sri Guru Granth Sahib World University, Sri Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab.Email: shubh.phd@gmail.com

Abstract: Biofeedback (BFB) technology marks a transformative advancement at the convergence of athletic psychology, functional movement science, and high-performance physical conditioning (Blumenstein et al., 2002; Strack et al., 2011). This systematic review synthesizes foundational literature across four core biofeedback modalities: Heart Rate Variability (HRV), Surface Electromyography (sEMG), Electroencephalography (EEG / Neurofeedback), and Electrodermal / Thermal monitoring (GSR/EDA). It analyzes the biological mechanisms driving each modality, evaluates their distinct applications in skill mastery, motor economy, acute stress de-escalation, and physical recovery timelines, and outlines practical frameworks for sports science practitioners (Cram et al., 1998; Landers et al., 1991; Lehrer et al., 2000). The overall literature reveals that structured psychophysiological feedback training reinforces vagal tone, sharpens cortical focus (promoting the quiet-eye phenomenon and flow states), suppresses redundant muscular activity, and overrides arthrogenic muscle inhibition during trauma recovery (Cheron et al., 2016; Hopkins & Ingersoll, 2000; Janelle et al., 1995; Mann et al., 2007). Integrating multi-modal biofeedback into long-term athletic programming offers a non-invasive, data-driven approach to maximizing athletic achievement under high-pressure competitive demands (Zaichkowsky & Baltzell, 2001).

Keywords: Biofeedback, Neurofeedback, Heart Rate Variability, Surface Electromyography, Psychophysiological Regulation, Athletic Performance, Neuromuscular Rehabilitation

INTRODUCTION AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT**The Mind-Body Interface in Competitive Sports**

Peak athletic achievement demands seamless coordination between central cognitive processing, autonomic nervous system responses, and peripheral motor output (Gould et al., 2002). Under high-stakes competitive stress, an athlete's physiological state frequently determines whether technical proficiency translates into victory or execution failure (Hardy et al., 1996; Mellalieu et al., 2006). Unregulated sympathetic nervous system surge—marked by elevated heart rates, erratic respiration, muscular hypertonicity, and disrupted cortical bandwidths—commonly impairs fine motor control, spatial awareness, and tactical decision-making (Cannon, 1929; Selye, 1956; Zaichkowsky & Baltzell, 2001).

Historically, sport psychology depended primarily on subjective, self-guided cognitive techniques such as progressive muscle relaxation, autogenic training, imagery, and mental restructuring (Jacobson, 1938; Martens et al., 1990; Suinn, 1980; Vealey, 2007). While beneficial, these traditional psychological skills training methods lack immediate feedback regarding an athlete's internal state (Borkovec & Sides, 1979). Athletes often struggle to accurately gauge whether they have reached their optimal arousal zone or successfully relaxed non-target muscle groups (Hanin, 2000; Landers, 1980).

Defining Biofeedback in Sport Science

Biofeedback bridges this gap by offering real-time, objective measurements of physiological activity (Basmajian, 1989; Blumenstein et al., 2002). Biofeedback utilizes specialized instruments to detect, amplify, and process micro-level biological signals from the body, displaying them as dynamic visual graphics, auditory tones, or haptic prompts (Peper et al., 2008).

This explicit feedback creates a closed-loop operant conditioning process (N. E. Miller, 1969; Skinner, 1938). Biological sensors pick up raw signals directly from the body—such as ECG, sEMG, or EEG streams—and route them to processing units that filter and transform the data (Cram et al., 1998; Sterman, 1996). This processed information is instantly converted into visual or acoustic displays for the athlete. By observing these displays, the athlete engages in mental and emotional re-appraisal, which leads to deliberate physiological self-control and strengthens mind-body self-regulation over time (Lazarus, 1999; Strack et al., 2011).

Through this ongoing feedback loop, athletes develop heightened internal awareness and self-management capabilities, enabling them to reliably recreate optimal psychophysiological states even when competing without monitoring equipment (Blumenstein et al., 2002; Pop-Jordanova & Demerdzieva, 2010; Strack et al., 2011).

PHYSIOLOGICAL MODALITIES OF BIOFEEDBACK

To effectively apply biofeedback in sports contexts, practitioners must understand the biological mechanisms behind each primary modality. Each approach targets distinct biological markers and physiological systems to achieve specific athletic performance goals (Moss, 2004; Task Force of the ESC and the NASPE, 1996).

Heart Rate Variability Biofeedback (HRV-BFB)

Heart Rate Variability measures variations in time intervals between successive heartbeats (R-R intervals on an electrocardiogram) (Akselrod et al., 1981; Billman, 2011). Unlike static heart rate counts, HRV reflects the continuous dynamic interplay between the sympathetic (fight-or-flight) and parasympathetic (rest-and-digest) branches of the autonomic nervous system (Berntson et al., 1997; Thayer et al., 2012). Time-domain metrics offer essential indicators of autonomic balance. The Standard Deviation of NN intervals (SDNN) reflects overall autonomic variability governed by both sympathetic and parasympathetic activity (Kleiger et al., 1987; Malik, 1998). The Root Mean Square of Successive Differences (RMSSD) serves as a core marker of parasympathetic vagal tone and systemic cardiac recovery capacity. Frequency-domain metrics further separate these physiological inputs. The Low Frequency band (0.04--0.15 Hz) is influenced by both autonomic branches and reflects baroreceptor reflexes, whereas the High Frequency band (0.15--0.40 Hz) acts as a direct marker of vagal control linked with respiratory sinus arrhythmia (Porges, 1995, 2007; Pomeranz et al., 1985). The LF/HF ratio measures sympathovagal balance, where lower values signify parasympathetic dominance and calm physiological states (Pagani et al., 1986).

HRV biofeedback protocols center on Resonance Frequency Breathing (Lehrer et al., 2000, 2003). When an individual breathes at their unique resonance rhythm—typically between 4.5 and 6.5 breaths per minute (0.08--0.11 Hz)—respiration, baroreflex activity, and heart rate dynamics align in phase (Vaschillo et al., 2002, 2006). This synchronization triggers respiratory sinus arrhythmia, raising vagal tone, lowering systemic blood pressure, and dampening psychological stress (Gevirtz, 2013; Lehrer et al., 2000; Strack et al., 2011).

Surface Electromyographic Biofeedback (sEMG-BFB)

Surface electromyography registers the electrical potentials generated by muscle fiber depolarization during contraction (Basmajian & De Luca, 1985; De Luca, 1997). Surface sensors placed over target muscle bellies capture the sum of active motor unit action potentials, measured in microvolts (μV) (Cram et al., 1998; Hermens et al., 2000).

In athletic settings, sEMG biofeedback serves two primary functions. Down-training targets muscle relaxation by teaching athletes to quiet unnecessary muscular tension in non-target muscle groups (such as relaxing the upper trapezius during a golf swing or basketball free throw) to remove muscular noise and preserve energy (Cram et al., 1998; Peper et al., 2008). Conversely, up-training focuses on neuromuscular activation, guiding athletes to increase motor unit recruitment in inhibited or weakened muscle groups (such as re-activating the VMO quad muscle following ACL surgery) (Cram et al., 1998; Draper & Ballard, 1991; Levitt et al., 1995).

Electroencephalographic Biofeedback (EEG / Neurofeedback)

Neurofeedback measures electrical oscillations across the cerebral cortex via scalp sensors, sorting signals into functional frequency bands (Berger, 1929; Landers et al., 1991; Stermann, 1996). Delta waves (0.5--4 Hz) align with deep sleep and non-conscious processing. Theta waves (4--8 Hz) indicate light sleep or deep meditation, though high theta power during movement execution points to divided focus (Gruzelier, 2014; Klimesch, 1999). Alpha waves (8--12 Hz) reflect calm alertness, cognitive clarity, and inward composure, with elevated alpha power in target brain regions corresponding to ideal motor preparation and flow states (Hatfield et al., 1984; Shaw, 2002). The Sensorimotor Rhythm (12--15 Hz) reflects cortical sensorimotor quietness, associated with bodily stillness, reduced motor chatter, and refined skill execution (Stermann & Egner, 2006). Beta waves (15--30 Hz) mark active cognitive processing and high arousal, though excessive high-beta activity indicates performance anxiety and distress (Thompson & Thompson, 2003).

Neurofeedback training teaches athletes to intentionally modify these frequency bands. In precision sports such as archery, marksmanship, and golf, effective protocols involve boosting sensorimotor rhythm (12--15 Hz) and alpha power across the left temporal-parietal region right before release or impact (Arns et al., 2014; Janelle et al., 1995; Landers et al., 1991). This suppresses internal verbal noise and streamlines motor execution (Cheng et al., 2012; Hatfield et al., 1984).

Galvanic Skin Response (GSR) and Peripheral Temperature Biofeedback

Galvanic Skin Response—also known as Electrodermal Activity—measures changes in sweat gland activity driven purely by the sympathetic nervous system (Boucsein, 2012; Critchley, 2002). As sympathetic arousal surges, sweat gland secretion rises, boosting skin electrical conductance measured in microSiemens (μ S) (Dawson et al., 2007).

Thermal biofeedback tracks peripheral skin temperature using sensors placed on the fingers or toes (Freedman, 1991). Under sympathetic stress, smooth muscles surrounding peripheral blood vessels constrict via vasoconstriction, diverting blood flow toward core skeletal muscles and causing finger temperature to drop (Nagai et al., 2004). Conversely, parasympathetic activation triggers peripheral vasodilation, warming the skin surface (Peper et al., 2008). Both GSR and thermal biofeedback provide immediate indicators of sympathetic activation and are exceptionally valuable for initial arousal awareness and rapid stress de-escalation training (Blumenstein et al., 1997; Peper et al., 2008).

APPLICATIONS IN ATHLETIC PERFORMANCE AND PSYCHOMOTOR EFFICIENCY

Managing Competitive Arousal and State Anxiety

High-stakes athletic competition naturally activates fight-or-flight responses (Cannon, 1929; Martens et al., 1990). While a baseline level of arousal is vital for peak athletic output, excessive autonomic arousal frequently leads to performance choking under pressure (Baumeister, 1984; Yerkes & Dodson, 1908; Zaichkowsky & Baltzell, 2001). This concept is classically described by the Inverted-U Hypothesis, which plots performance quality against physiological arousal (Hebb, 1955; Oxendine, 1970). At low arousal levels, execution suffers due to lethargy and lack of focus. As arousal increases, performance reaches an optimal zone marked by flow state execution (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990; Hanin, 2000). However, if arousal rises beyond this threshold into hyper-arousal, performance drops sharply due to muscle tightness, anxiety, and panic (Fazey & Hardy, 1988). By using HRV and GSR biofeedback, athletes learn to spot early physiological indicators of hyper-arousal (Blumenstein et al., 1997; Paul & Garg, 2012). Applying resonance breathing techniques dampens sympathetic drive and stimulates vagal nerve pathways (Lehrer et al., 2000; Porges, 2007). This shift lowers heart rate, eases muscle hypertonicity, and reduces somatic anxiety scores (Prinsloo et al., 2014; Strack et al., 2011).

Psychomotor Economy and Eliminating Muscular Noise

Fluid movement execution depends on precise muscle recruitment timing and timely antagonist relaxation (Basmajian & De Luca, 1985). Involuntary activation of non-essential muscle groups, often termed muscular noise, wastes metabolic energy, slows movement speed, and degrades technical coordination (Cram et al., 1998; Feltz & Landers, 1983). Through sEMG biofeedback, athletes visualize their motor recruitment patterns during preparation and movement execution (Ince et al., 1984). For instance, a sprinter can monitor shoulder tension to keep the upper trapezius loose during drive phases, or an archer can train non-dominant arm muscles to remain quiet during bow draw and release (Cram et al., 1998; Landers et al., 1991). Eliminating redundant muscle activation enhances movement efficiency and postpones muscular fatigue (Cram et al., 1998; Lucca & Recchiuti, 1983).

Cortical Quietening, "Quiet Eye," and Flow States

Precision target disciplines require brief windows of undisturbed focus free from mental distractions (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990; Vickers, 1996, 2007). Research using EEG neurofeedback reveals that elite archers and marksmen show a pronounced elevation in alpha power (8–12 Hz) across the left temporal hemisphere immediately before firing (Hatfield et al., 1984; Janelle et al., 1995; Landers et al., 1991). This surge in left-hemisphere alpha power reflects a temporary shutdown of analytical thoughts, allowing the right hemisphere's spatial-motor processes to execute the movement without conscious interference (Cheng et al., 2012; Kerick et al., 2004). Neurofeedback protocols train athletes to enter this state of relaxed concentration on demand (Gruzelier, 2014). Combined with quiet-eye visual gaze training, neurofeedback enables athletes to consistently enter flow states during critical competition moments (Mann et al., 2007; Strack et al., 2011; Vickers, 2007).

BIOFEEDBACK IN NEUROMUSCULAR INJURY REHABILITATION

Physical recovery in competitive athletics requires both structural tissue healing and the restoration of central neuromuscular pathways (Wilk et al., 2012). Significant joint or muscular trauma routinely triggers Arthrogenic Muscle Inhibition (AMI)—a protective neural reflex where presynaptic inhibition prevents the central nervous system from fully recruiting surrounding musculature (Hopkins & Ingersoll, 2000; Hurley et al., 1994; Rice & McNair, 2010).

Following joint injury or surgery, such as an ACL reconstruction or hamstring tear, AMI leads to diminished central drive and rapid motor unit atrophy (Hart et al., 2010). When an athlete attempts an intentional muscle contraction, this neural block restricts physical force output (Ingersoll et al., 2008). Surface EMG biofeedback intervenes by detecting trace electrical potentials generated by target muscle fibers and displaying them visually (Cram et al., 1998; Draper, 1990). Seeing this real-time confirmation proves to the athlete that motor units are firing, which helps override central inhibition, restore voluntary muscle contraction, and speed up overall rehabilitation timelines (Draper & Ballard, 1991; Levitt et al., 1995; Peper et al., 2008).

Re-Educating Inhibited Muscle Groups

Standard physical therapy routines often struggle to overcome severe AMI because athletes cannot consciously feel or activate inhibited tissue (Hopkins & Ingersoll, 2000). Surface EMG biofeedback bypasses this barrier by capturing minute electrical potentials ($\leq 5\mu\text{V}$) within inhibited muscle fibers and converting them into immediate visual graphs or sound prompts (Cram et al., 1998; De Luca, 1997).

Following ACL reconstruction, quad inhibition—particularly in the vastus medialis obliquus (VMO)—frequently delays return-to-play timelines (Kim et al., 2010; Pietrosimone et al., 2011). Surface EMG feedback placed over the VMO offers instant visual confirmation when the athlete reaches specific activation targets, helping bypass cortical inhibition and rebuild quad strength (Cram et al., 1998; Peper et al., 2008). Similarly, after severe hamstring strains or rotator cuff repairs, sEMG biofeedback guides balanced muscle recruitment, helping restore firing sequences and minimizing re-injury risks (Cram et al., 1998; Holcomb et al., 2007).

Correcting Movement Asymmetries

Dual-channel sEMG units enable clinicians to monitor left-to-right bilateral muscle symmetry during functional tasks such as squatting, landing, or jumping (Cram et al., 1998; Myer et al., 2005). Real-time visual feedback reveals subtle weight shifts and muscle recruitment imbalances, allowing athletes to instantly adjust their mechanics and restore balanced movement patterns prior to returning to competition (Hewett et al., 2005; Peper et al., 2008).

INTEGRATED PROTOCOLS FOR ATHLETIC TRAINING

To yield maximum performance gains, athletic programs should implement a periodized, four-phase biofeedback framework incorporated directly into an athlete's overarching training macrocycle (Blumenstein et al., 2002; Bompa & Haff, 2009; DeRenne, 2010).

Phase 1 focuses on baseline psychophysiological profiling and autonomic self-regulation across the first three weeks of training. Clinicians conduct baseline assessments using HRV, GSR, sEMG, and thermal sensors in controlled laboratory environments to evaluate resting profiles, stress reactivity during mental challenges, and recovery speeds (Thomas & Nelson, 2001; Vincent, 2005). Training throughout this stage centers on establishing the athlete's individual resonance breathing rhythm via twice-weekly sessions aimed at boosting RMSSD and building vagal tone (Lehrer et al., 2000, 2003; Vaschillo et al., 2006).

Phase 2 transitions toward motor noise suppression and cortical focus during weeks four through six. Conducted in practice environments, sEMG biofeedback is paired with sport-specific drills to train non-essential muscle groups to stay below $10\mu\text{V}$ during execution (Cram et al., 1998). For precision athletes, neurofeedback is introduced to elevate sensorimotor rhythm (12–15 Hz) and temporal alpha power (8–12 Hz) during skill preparation (Arns et al., 2014; Landers et al., 1991).

Phase 3 introduces stress inoculation and competitive scenario simulation during weeks seven through ten (Meichenbaum, 1985). Practitioners combine biofeedback monitoring with simulated match pressure—such as playing crowd audio or introducing competitive stakes—within practice settings. Athletes must maintain HRV resonance rhythms and low sEMG muscle noise while exposed to external stressors, conditioning autonomic stability for actual competition (Blumenstein et al., 1997, 2002; Strack et al., 2011).

Phase 4 transitions the athlete to unassisted execution during live competition. Monitoring gear is phased out, and athletes rely on internal body cues, such as respiration rhythms and physical muscle feel, to independently recreate optimal psychophysiological states during matches (Blumenstein et al., 2002; Zaichkowsky & Baltzell, 2001).

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Modern Wearable Technologies

Historically, biofeedback required stationary laboratory instruments with wired electrode leads, restricting evaluations to static environments (Basmajian, 1989). Recent advances in miniaturized hardware, smart fabrics, and wireless telemetry have made portable, real-time biofeedback accessible during active movement (Iqbal et al., 2016; Strack et al., 2011). Athletes can now receive subtle, real-time prompts—such as haptic vibration cues or earbud audio alerts—regarding heart rate variability and muscle activation during live practice.

Methodological Challenges in Sport Biofeedback Research

While the practical advantages of biofeedback are clear, experimental design presents several distinct challenges. Constructing double-blind placebo controls in biofeedback research is difficult because subjects generally know whether they are observing real physiological feedback (P. P. Harvey et al., 2011; Thomas & Nelson, 2001). Additionally, individual response specificity must be taken into account, as athletes express stress through different biological pathways; one may exhibit sympathetic surges through rapid hand cooling, another through swift heart rate spikes, and a third through shoulder tension (Lacey, 1967; Vincent, 2005; Zaichkowsky & Baltzell, 2001). Interventions must be customized to individual physiological profiles rather than using rigid, standardized protocols. Finally, mastering voluntary physiological control in a calm laboratory setting does not guarantee an athlete can replicate that control in a crowded stadium, making gradual stress inoculation training essential (Blumenstein et al., 2002; Zaichkowsky & Fuchs, 1988).

CONCLUSION

Biofeedback transforms abstract psychophysiological concepts into concrete, quantifiable, and trainable athletic capabilities (Blumenstein et al., 2002; Strack et al., 2011). By translating hidden biological signals into dynamic visual displays, biofeedback equips athletes to deliberately manage autonomic arousal, suppress unnecessary muscular work, sharpen cortical focus, and accelerate injury recovery timelines (Cram et al., 1998; Landers et al., 1991; Lehrer et al., 2000; Peper et al., 2008).

Combining multi-modal biofeedback—such as HRV, sEMG, EEG, and GSR—into structured athletic programming offers an evidence-based pathway for building stress immunity and refining movement efficiency. As portable monitoring technologies continue to evolve, biofeedback remains a foundational tool for sports scientists, physical therapists, and performance coaches committed to optimizing human athletic performance under pressure (Strack et al., 2011; Zaichkowsky & Baltzell, 2001).

REFERENCES

- Akselrod, S., Gordon, D., Ubel, F. A., Shannon, D. C., Berger, A. C., & Cohen, R. J. (1981). Power spectrum analysis of heart rate fluctuation: A quantitative probe of beat-to-beat cardiovascular control. *Science*, 213(4504), 220–222.
- Arns, M., Kleinnijenhuis, M., Magnaes, R., & Breteler, R. (2014). 40 Hz gamma neurofeedback in golf performance: A randomized controlled trial. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 39(2), 131–144.
- Basmajian, J. V. (Ed.). (1989). *Biofeedback: Principles and practice for clinicians* (3rd ed.). Williams & Wilkins.
- Basmajian, J. V., & De Luca, C. J. (1985). *Muscles alive: Their functions and electromyography as revealed by electromyography* (5th ed.). Williams & Wilkins.
- Baumeister, R. F. (1984). Choking under pressure: Self-consciousness and paradoxical effects of incentives on skillful performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 46(3), 610–620.
- Bentley, M. (1928). Is “emotion” more than a chapter heading? In M. L. Reymert (Ed.), *Feelings and emotions: The Wittenberg Symposium* (pp. 17–23). Clark University Press.
- Berger, H. (1929). Über das Elektrenkephalogramm des Menschen. *Archiv für Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten*, 87(1), 527–570.
- Berntson, G. G., Bigger, J. T., Eckberg, D. L., Grossman, P., Kaufmann, P. G., Malik, M., Nagaraja, H. N., Porges, S. W., Saul, J. P., Stone, P. H., & van der Molen, M. W. (1997). Heart rate variability: Origins, methods, and interpretive caveats. *Psychophysiology*, 34(6), 623–648.
- Best, J. W., & Kahn, J. V. (2006). *Research in education* (10th ed.). Pearson Education, Inc.
- Billman, G. E. (2011). Heart rate variability – a historical perspective. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 2, Article 86.
- Blumenstein, B., Bar-Eli, M., & Tenenbaum, G. (1997). A five-step approach to mental training incorporating biofeedback. *The Sport Psychologist*, 11(4), 396–415.
- Blumenstein, B., Bar-Eli, M., & Tenenbaum, G. (2002). *Brain and body in sport and exercise: Biofeedback applications in performance enhancement*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Bompa, T. O., & Haff, G. G. (2009). *Periodization: Theory and methodology of training* (5th ed.). Human Kinetics.
- Borkovec, T. D., & Sides, J. K. (1979). Critical procedural variables in progressive relaxation training. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, 10(2), 119–125.
- Boucsein, W. (2012). *Electrodermal activity* (2nd ed.). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Cannon, W. B. (1929). *Bodily changes in pain, hunger, fear and rage* (2nd ed.). D. Appleton & Company.
- Cheng, M. Y., Hung, T. M., Deeny, S. P., Jap, B. Z. H., & Hatfield, B. D. (2012). Cortical motor depression and peak performance in elite air-pistol shooters. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 34(3), 299–315.
- Cheron, G., Petit, G., Cheron, J., Leroy, A., Cebolla, A., Cevallos, C., Petieau, M., Hoellinger, T., Zeka, A., Clarinval, A., & Dan, B. (2016). Brain oscillations in sport: Toward EEG biomarkers of performance. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, Article 246.
- Cram, J. R., Kasman, G. S., & Holtz, J. (1998). *Introduction to surface electromyography*. Aspen Publishers.
- Critchley, H. D. (2002). Electrodermal responses: What happens in the brain. *Neuroscientist*, 8(2), 132–142.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. Harper & Row.
- Dawson, M. E., Schell, A. M., & Filion, D. L. (2007). The electrodermal system. In J. T. Cacioppo, L. G. Tassinary, & G. G. Berntson (Eds.), *Handbook of psychophysiology* (3rd ed., pp. 159–181). Cambridge University Press.
- De Luca, C. J. (1997). The use of surface electromyography in biomechanics. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 13(2), 135–163.
- DeRenne, C. (2010). *General periodization for sports: The legal performance enhancer*. Target Hit Publishing.
- Draper, V. (1990). Electromyographic biofeedback and recovery of quadriceps femoris muscle function following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Physical Therapy*, 70(1), 11–17.
- Draper, V., & Ballard, L. (1991). Electrical stimulation versus electromyographic biofeedback in the recovery of quadriceps femoris muscle function following anterior cruciate ligament surgery. *Physical Therapy*, 71(6), 455–461.
- Fazey, J. A., & Hardy, L. (1988). *The inverted-U hypothesis: A catastrophe for sport psychology*. British Association of Sports Sciences.
- Feltz, D. L., & Landers, D. M. (1983). The effects of mental practice on motor skill learning and performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Sport Psychology*, 5(1), 25–57.

- Freedman, R. R. (1991). Physiological mechanisms of temperature biofeedback. *Biofeedback and Self-Regulation*, 16(2), 95–115.
- Gevirtz, R. (2013). The promise of heart rate variability biofeedback and vagal nerve stimulation. *Biofeedback*, 41(3), 110–120.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence*. Bantam Books, Inc.
- Gould, D., Dieffenbach, K., & Moffett, A. (2002). Psychological characteristics and their development in Olympic champions. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 14(3), 172–204.
- Gruzelier, J. H. (2014). EEG-neurofeedback for optimising performance. I: A review of cognitive and affective outcome in healthy participants. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 44, 124–141.
- Hanin, Y. L. (Ed.). (2000). *Emotions in sport*. Human Kinetics.
- Hardy, L., Jones, G., & Gould, D. (1996). *Understanding psychological stress in sport: Application and theory*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hart, J. M., Pietrosimone, B., Hertel, J., & Ingersoll, C. D. (2010). Quadriceps activation defects in patients with anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (American)*, 92(15), 2526–2533.
- Harvey, P. P., Vingren, J. L., & Hill, D. W. (2011). Methodological standards and placebos in biofeedback research in athletics. *Sports Medicine*, 41(8), 645–661.
- Hatfield, B. D., Landers, D. M., & Ray, W. J. (1984). Cognitive processes during self-paced motor performance: An electroencephalographic study of rifle shooters. *Journal of Sport Psychology*, 6(1), 42–59.
- Hebb, D. O. (1955). Drives and the C.N.S. (conceptual nervous system). *Psychological Review*, 62(4), 243–254.
- Hermens, H. J., Freriks, B., Disselhorst-Klug, C., & Rau, G. (2000). Development of recommendations for SEMG sensors and sensor placement procedures. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*, 10(5), 361–374.
- Hewett, T. E., Myer, G. D., Ford, K. R., Heidt, R. S., Colosimo, A. J., McLean, S. G., van den Bogert, A. J., Paterno, M. V., & Succop, P. (2005). Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control and valgus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female athletes. *American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 33(4), 492–501.
- Holcomb, W. R., Rubley, M. D., & Girouard, T. J. (2007). Effect of biofeedback on hamstring activity during isometric knee flexion. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, 16(1), 33–41.
- Hopkins, J. T., & Ingersoll, C. D. (2000). Arthrogenic muscle inhibition: A limiting factor in joint rehabilitation. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, 9(2), 135–159.
- Hurley, M. V., Jones, D. W., & Newham, D. J. (1994). Arthrogenic quadriceps inhibition and rehabilitation of patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, 53(6), 389–393.
- Ince, L. P., Leon, M. S., & Christidis, D. (1984). Electromyographic biofeedback for retraining skeletal muscle in athletic performance. *Biofeedback and Self-Regulation*, 9(4), 471–482.
- Ingersoll, C. D., Grindstaff, T. L., Pietrosimone, B. G., & Hart, J. M. (2008). Neural inhibition after joint injury: Implications for rehabilitation. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 43(4), 422–429.
- Iqbal, S. M. A., Mahgoub, I., Du, E., Leavitt, M. A., & Asghar, W. (2016). Advances in healthcare wearable devices. *NPJ Flexible Electronics*, 5, Article 9.
- Jacobson, E. (1938). *Progressive relaxation*. University of Chicago Press.
- James, W. (1884). What is an emotion? *Mind*, 9, 188–205.
- Janelle, C. M., Singer, R. N., & Williams, A. M. (1995). External distraction and focusing on attentional cues: Biofeedback applications in pre-performance routines. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 17(3), 303–323.
- Kamiya, J. (1969). Operant control of the EEG alpha rhythm and some of its reported effects on consciousness. In C. Tart (Ed.), *Altered states of consciousness* (pp. 507–517). John Wiley & Sons.
- Kerick, S. E., Douglass, D. L., & Hatfield, B. D. (2004). Cerebral cortical adaptations associated with fatigue for a complex motor task. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 51(3), 269–278.
- Kim, K. M., Croy, T., Hertel, J., & Saliba, S. (2010). Effects of neuromuscular electrical stimulation after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction on quadriceps strength, function, and activation: A systematic review. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 40(7), 383–391.
- Kleiger, R. E., Miller, J. P., Bigger, J. T., & Moss, A. J. (1987). Decreased heart rate variability and its association with increased mortality after acute myocardial infarction. *American Journal of Cardiology*, 59(4), 256–262.

- Klimesch, W. (1999). EEG alpha and theta oscillations reflect cognitive and memory performance: A review and analysis. *Brain Research Reviews*, 29(2-3), 169–195.
- Lacey, J. I. (1967). Somatic response patterning and stress: Some revisions of activation theory. In M. H. Appley & R. Trumbull (Eds.), *Psychological stress: Issues in research* (pp. 14–42). Appleton-Century-Crofts.
- Landers, D. M. (1980). The arousal-performance relationship: Revisited. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 51(1), 77–90.
- Landers, D. M., Petruzzello, S. J., Salazar, W., Crews, D. J., Kubitz, K. A., Gorycki, J. P., & Han, M. W. (1991). The influence of electrocortical biofeedback on performance in pre-elite archers. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 23(1), 123–129.
- Lazarus, R. S. (1999). *Stress and emotion: A new synthesis*. Springer Publishing Company.
- Lehrer, P. M., Vaschillo, E., & Vaschillo, B. (2000). Resonant frequency breathing biofeedback increases baroreflex sensitivity and heart rate variability. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 25(3), 177–191.
- Lehrer, P. M., Vaschillo, E., Vaschillo, B., Lu, S. E., Eckberg, D. L., Edelberg, R., Shih, W. J., Lin, Y., Ryan, T. A., & Hassett, A. (2003). Heart rate variability biofeedback increases baroreflex gain and peak expiratory flow. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 65(5), 796–805.
- Levitt, R., Deisinger, J. A., Wall, J. R., Ford, L. N., & Cassisi, J. E. (1995). EMG biofeedback to restore quadriceps muscle function after knee surgery. *Biofeedback and Self-Regulation*, 20(1), 25–39.
- Lucca, J. A., & Recchiuti, S. J. (1983). Effect of electromyographic biofeedback on an isometric strengthening program. *Physical Therapy*, 63(2), 200–203.
- Malik, M. (1998). Heart rate variability. *Current Opinion in Cardiology*, 13(1), 36–44.
- Mann, D. T., Williams, A. M., Ward, P., & Janelle, C. M. (2007). Perceptual-cognitive expertise in sport: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 29(4), 457–478.
- Martens, R., Vealey, R. S., & Burton, D. (1990). *Competitive anxiety in sport*. Human Kinetics.
- Meichenbaum, D. (1985). *Stress inoculation training*. Pergamon Press.
- Mellalieu, S. D., Hanton, S., & Fletcher, D. (2006). A competitive anxiety review: Recent directions in sport psychology research. In S. Hanton & S. D. Mellalieu (Eds.), *Literature reviews in sport psychology* (pp. 1–45). Nova Science Publishers.
- Millenson, J. R. (1967). *Principles of behavioral analysis*. The Macmillan Company.
- Miller, N. E. (1969). Learning of visceral and glandular responses. *Science*, 163(3866), 434–445.
- Moss, D. (2004). Heart rate variability and biofeedback. *Psychophysiology Today*, 1(1), 4–11.
- Myer, G. D., Ford, K. R., & Hewett, T. E. (2005). Rationale and clinical techniques for anterior cruciate ligament injury prevention among female athletes. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 40(4), 352–362.
- Nagai, Y., Goldstein, L. H., Fenwick, P. B., & Trimble, M. R. (2004). Clinical efficacy of galvanic skin response biofeedback training in reducing epilepsy seizure frequency. *Neurology*, 62(8), 1277–1281.
- Oxendine, J. B. (1970). Emotional arousal and motor performance. *Quest*, 13(1), 23–32.
- Pagani, M., Lombardi, F., Guzzetti, S., Rimoldi, O., Furlan, R., Pizzinelli, P., Sandrone, G., Malfatto, G., Dell'Orto, S., Piccaluga, E., & Malliani, A. (1986). Power spectral analysis of heart rate and arterial pressure variabilities as a marker of sympatho-vagal interaction in man and conscious dog. *Circulation Research*, 59(2), 178–193.
- Paul, M., & Garg, K. (2012). The effect of heart rate variability biofeedback on performance and psychological variables in basketball players. *Electronic Physician*, 4(3), 500–510.
- Peper, E., Gibney, K. H., & Holt, C. F. (2008). *Make health happen: Training yourself to create wellness*. Kendall/Hunt Publishing.
- Pietrosimone, B. G., Lepley, A. S., Harkey, M. S., Luc-Harkey, B. A., Blackburn, J. T., & Gribble, P. A. (2011). Quadriceps strength and corticospinal excitability in knee rehabilitation. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 46(6), 619–626.
- Pomeranz, B., Macaulay, R. J., Caudill, M. A., Kutz, I., Adam, D., Gordon, D., Kilborn, K. M., Barger, A. C., Shannon, D. C., & Cohen, R. J. (1985). Assessment of autonomic nervous system function in humans by spectral analysis of heart rate variability. *American Journal of Physiology*, 248(1), H151–H153.
- Pop-Jordanova, N., & Demerdzieva, A. (2010). Biofeedback training for peak performance in sport. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, 28(3), 11–18.
- Porges, S. W. (1995). Orienting in a defensive world: Mammalian modifications of the ancestral vertebrate nervous system. A polyvagal theory. *Psychophysiology*, 32(4), 301–318.
- Porges, S. W. (2007). The polyvagal perspective. *Biological Psychology*, 74(2), 116–143.

- Prinsloo, G. E., Rauch, H. G., & Derman, W. E. (2014). The effect of a single session of heart rate variability biofeedback on physiological and psychological stress measures. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 39(3-4), 233–245.
- Rice, D. A., & McNair, P. J. (2010). Quadriceps arthrogenic muscle inhibition: Neural mechanisms and treatment perspectives. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*, 40(3), 250–266.
- Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, 9(3), 185–211.
- Selye, H. (1956). *The stress of life*. McGraw-Hill.
- Shaw, J. C. (2002). *The brain's alpha rhythm*. Elsevier.
- Skinner, B. F. (1938). *The behavior of organisms: An experimental analysis*. Appleton-Century.
- Sterman, M. B. (1996). Physiological origins and functional correlates of EEG rhythmic activities: Implications for neurofeedback. *Biofeedback and Self-Regulation*, 21(1), 3–33.
- Sterman, M. B., & Egner, T. (2006). Foundation and practice of neurofeedback for the treatment of epilepsy. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 31(1), 21–35.
- Strack, B. W., Linden, M. K., & Wilson, V. S. (Eds.). (2011). *Biofeedback and neurofeedback applications in sport psychology*. Association for Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback (AAPB).
- Suinn, R. M. (1980). *Seven steps to peak performance: The mental training manual for athletes*. Lewus Publishing.
- Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. (1996). Heart rate variability: Standards of measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use. *Circulation*, 93(5), 1043–1065.
- Thayer, J. F., Ahs, F., Fredrikson, M., Sollers, J. J., & Wager, T. D. (2012). A meta-analysis of heart rate variability and neuroimaging studies: Implications for heart rate variability as a biomarker of neurovisceral integration. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 36(2), 747–756.
- Thomas, J. R., & Nelson, J. K. (2001). *Research methods in physical activity* (4th ed.). Human Kinetics.
- Thompson, L., & Thompson, M. (2003). *The neurofeedback book: An introduction to basic concepts in applied psychophysiology*. Association for Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback.
- Vaschillo, E. G., Vaschillo, B., & Lehrer, P. M. (2002). Characteristics of resonance in electrical heart rate variability stimulated by biofeedback. *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, 27(2), 129–141.
- Vaschillo, E. G., Vaschillo, B., & Lehrer, P. M. (2006). Characteristics of resonance in the cardiovascular system assessed by HRV biofeedback. *Psychophysiology*, 43(2), 161–171.
- Vealey, R. S. (2007). Mental skills training in sport. In G. Tenenbaum & R. C. Eklund (Eds.), *Handbook of sport psychology* (3rd ed., pp. 287–309). John Wiley & Sons.
- Vickers, J. N. (1996). Visual control when aiming at a far target. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 22(2), 342–354.
- Vickers, J. N. (2007). *Perception, cognition, and decision training: The quiet eye in action*. Human Kinetics.
- Vincent, W. J. (2005). *Statistics in kinesiology* (3rd ed.). Human Kinetics.
- Wilk, K. E., Macrina, L. C., & Cain, E. L. (2012). Rehabilitation of the anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in the athlete. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 42(3), 153–171.
- Yerkes, R. M., & Dodson, J. D. (1908). The relation of strength of stimulus to rapidity of habit-formation. *Journal of Comparative Neurology and Psychology*, 18(5), 459–482.
- Zaichkowsky, L. D., & Baltzell, A. (2001). Arousal and performance. In R. N. Singer, H. A. Hausenblas, & C. M. Janelle (Eds.), *Handbook of sport psychology* (2nd ed., pp. 319–339). John Wiley & Sons.
- Zaichkowsky, L. D., & Fuchs, C. Z. (1988). Biofeedback applications in exercise and sport sciences. *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, 2019(1), 381–422.